

Durable Treatment-Free Remission Following Frontline Nilotinib in Patients With Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Chronic Phase: ENESTfreedom 96-Week Update

David M. Ross,¹ Tamas Masszi,² María Teresa Gómez Casares,³ Andrzej Hellmann,⁴ Jesper Stentoft,⁵ Eibhlin Conneally,⁶ Valentin Garcia Gutierrez,⁷ Norbert Gattermann,⁸ Philipp D. le Coutre,⁹ Bruno Martino,¹⁰ Susanne Saussele,¹¹ Francis J. Giles,¹² Jerald P. Radich,¹³ Giuseppe Saglio,¹⁴ Prashanth Gopalakrishna,¹⁵ Weiping Deng,¹⁶ Nancy Kronic,¹⁷ Veronique Bedoucha,¹⁵ Andreas Hochhaus¹⁸

¹SA Pathology, Adelaide, Australia; ²Szabolcs University, Budapest, Hungary; ³Hospital Universitario de Gran Canaria Dr. Negrín, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain; ⁴Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland; ⁵Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁶St James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; ⁷Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ⁸Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany; ⁹Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ¹⁰Azienda Ospedaliera Bianchi Melacchino Morelli, Reggio Calabria, Italy; ¹¹III. Med. Klinik, Medizinische Fakultät Mannheim der Universität Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany; ¹²Northwestern Medicine Developmental Therapeutics Institute, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center of Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA; ¹³Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹⁴University of Turin, Orbassano, Italy; ¹⁵Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ¹⁶Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA; ¹⁷Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Cambridge, MA, USA; ¹⁸Abteilung Hämatologie/Onkologie, Universitätsklinikum Jena, Jena, Germany


Introduction

- Discontinuation of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy for treatment-free remission (TFR) in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia in chronic phase (CML-CP) and a sustained deep molecular response is an emerging treatment goal¹⁻⁶
- ENESTfreedom is the first study to investigate TFR in patients who achieved a sustained deep molecular response with frontline nilotinib²
 - In the primary analysis of ENESTfreedom, the rate of TFR at 48 weeks was 51.6%, similar to rates reported in studies of TFR following imatinib despite a shorter duration of prior therapy (median of 3.6 years in ENESTfreedom vs ≈ 5-7 years in prior studies)²⁻⁶

Methods

- ENESTfreedom (NCT01784068) is an ongoing, single-arm, phase 2 study (Figure 1)

Figure 1. ENESTfreedom Study Design




DMR, deep molecular response; IS, International Scale; MMR, major molecular response ($BCR-ABL^{1-5} \leq 0.1\%$); MR^{4.5}, $BCR-ABL^{1-5} \leq 0.0032\%$; RQ-PCR, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (standardized to the IS).
 * Sustained DMR defined as the following (in the last 4 quarterly PCR assessments): MR^{4.5} in the last assessment, no assessment worse than MR⁴ ($BCR-ABL^{1-5} \leq 0.01\%$), and ≤ 2 assessments between MR⁴ and MR^{4.5}.

- Here we present updated results from ENESTfreedom based on a cutoff date of 31 October 2016, at which time all patients who entered the TFR phase had completed 96 weeks of TFR, entered the reinitiation phase, or discontinued from the study
- Building on results from the primary analysis reported previously,² the current report provides longer-term efficacy and safety updates
 - Updated efficacy analyses include the rate of patients remaining in TFR (with MMR) at 96 weeks and evaluation of potential predictors of TFR success at 48 weeks
 - Updated safety analyses include the characterization of safety during TFR over time for the subset of patients who remained in the TFR phase for > 48 weeks

Results

- Of 190 patients who entered the TFR phase, 93 (48.9%) remained in TFR at the data cutoff, of the 88 patients who reinitiated nilotinib due to loss of MMR, 69 (78.4%) remained in the reinitiation phase at the data cutoff (Figure 2)

Figure 2. Patient Flow and Disposition



AE, adverse event.

- At diagnosis, 32.6%, 26.3%, and 14.7% of patients had low, intermediate, and high Sokal risk scores, respectively; Sokal risk scores at diagnosis were not available for 26.3% of patients (Table 1)
- At the data cutoff date, the median duration of follow-up in the TFR phase was 75.9 weeks (range, 8.4-133.0 weeks)


Table 1. Patient Characteristics in the TFR Population

	TFR Population (n = 190)
Median age at study entry (range), years	55 (21-86)
Sokal risk score at diagnosis, n (%)	
Low	62 (32.6)
Intermediate	50 (26.3)
High	28 (14.7)
Missing	50 (26.3)
Median time from first MR ^{4.5} until TFR entry (range), months	30.4 (12.3-83.0)
Median total nilotinib duration prior to TFR (range), months	43.5 (32.9-88.7)

Treatment-Free Remission

- Ninety-three of 190 patients (48.9%; 95% CI, 41.6%-56.3%) remained off treatment and in MMR at week 96 of the TFR phase
 - Eighty-eight of 190 patients also had MR^{4.5}
- Five of the 98 patients who were in TFR at 48 weeks were no longer in TFR at 96 weeks
 - Three patients lost MMR after 48 weeks (at 54, 78, and 92 weeks)
 - Two patients discontinued from the study without loss of MMR (due to patient decision and loss to follow-up)
- The estimated rate of treatment-free survival (TFS) at 96 weeks was 50.9% (95% CI, 43.6%-57.8%; Figure 3)

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier–Estimated TFS^{a,b}



^aTFS was defined as the time from the start of TFR until the earliest of any of the following: loss of MMR, reinitiation of nilotinib for any reason, progression to accelerated phase/blast crisis, or death due to any cause. ^bBy the data cutoff date, 1 patient lost MMR at week 120, at which time only 8 patients were considered to be at risk, resulting in the artificial drop seen at the end of the curve.

Response to Retreatment

- Of the 88 patients who reinitiated nilotinib due to loss of MMR:
 - Eighty-seven (98.9%) regained MMR (Figure 4)
 - The remaining 1 patient discontinued from the study (due to patient decision) without regaining MMR 7.1 weeks after reinitiating nilotinib
 - Eighty-one (92.0%) regained MR^{4.5} by the data cutoff date (Figure 5)
 - Of the patients who regained MMR but not MR^{4.5}, 1 remained on study, and 5 discontinued from the study by the data cutoff date 5 to 25 weeks after reinitiation of nilotinib (2 patients due to AEs, 1 patient due to lack of efficacy, and 2 patients due to individual decisions)

Figure 4. Cumulative Incidence of MMR Regained After Nilotinib Reinitiation





Figure 5. Cumulative Incidence of MR^{4.5} Regained After Nilotinib Reinitiation



- Patients with low Sokal risk scores at diagnosis and patients with MR^{4.5} in all assessments during the consolidation phase remained in TFR at higher rates than other patients (Table 2)

Table 2. TFR Rates According to Sokal Risk and MR^{4.5} Stability

TFR Rate at 48 Weeks, n/N (%; 95% CI)	TFR Population (n = 190)
Sokal risk score at diagnosis	
Low	39/62 (62.9; 49.7-74.8)
Intermediate	25/50 (50.0; 35.5-64.5)
High	9/28 (32.1; 15.9-52.4)
BCR-ABL ¹⁻⁵ level in the consolidation phase	
≤ 0.0032% in all assessments	90/170 (52.9; 45.2-60.6)
> 0.0032% in ≥ 1 assessment	8/20 (40.0; 19.1-63.9)

Safety

- Three new deaths were reported since the 48-week analysis; an overall total of 8 deaths were reported in the study by the data cutoff (Table 3)

Table 3. Deaths

Deaths, n (%)	Consolidation Phase (N = 215)	TFR Phase (n = 190)	Reinitiation Phase (n = 88)	Post-treatment Follow-Up ^a
Total	2 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	3 (3.4)	2
Cardiac arrest	1 (0.5)	0	0	0
Suicide	1 (0.5)	0	0	0
Acute myocardial infarction	0	0	1 (1.1)	0
Respiratory failure	0	0	1 (1.1) ^b	0
Other cancers	0	0	0	2 ^{a,b}
Unknown cause	0	1 (0.5)	1 (1.1)	0

^aDeaths were reported > 30 days after patients discontinued from the study. ^bNew deaths reported since the 48-week analysis.

- Safety analyses included the subgroup of patients remaining in TFR for > 48 weeks (n = 100)
- Fewer patients in this subgroup had AEs during the second 48 weeks of TFR (62.0% vs the first 48 weeks of TFR (76.0%) or the 1-year consolidation phase (85.0%))
- The frequency of cardiovascular events reported in each study period are shown in Table 4
- The incidence of AEs in the musculoskeletal-pain grouping increased during the TFR phase vs the consolidation phase, but decreased over time in the TFR phase (Table 5)

Table 4. Cardiovascular Events (all grades)^{a,b}

Patients, n (%)	Consolidation Phase (n = 100)	TFR Phase	
		First 48 Weeks (n = 100)	Second 48 Weeks (n = 100)
Cardiovascular events	3 (3.0)	2 (2.0)	1 (1.0)
Ischemic cerebrovascular events	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)	0
Ischemic heart disease	1 (1.0)	0	1 (1.0)
Peripheral arterial occlusive disease	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)	0

^aAmong patients who remained in TFR for > 48 weeks (n = 100). ^bEach listed AE group includes a predefined set of individual AEs. Reported frequencies include all patients with ≥ 1 new or worsening AE in the group reported during the indicated study period.

Table 5. Musculoskeletal Pain and Other Clinically Notable AE Groups (all grades)^{a,b}

Patients, n (%)	Consolidation Phase (n = 100)	TFR Phase	
		First 48 Weeks (n = 100)	Second 48 Weeks (n = 100)
Musculoskeletal pain	17 (17.0)	34 (34.0)	9 (9.0)
Fluid retention	3 (3.0)	4 (4.0)	4 (4.0)
Edema and other fluid retentions	2 (2.0)	3 (3.0)	4 (4.0)
Severe	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)	0
Hepatotoxicity	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)	0
Cardiac failure	0	1 (1.0)	0
Rash	5 (5.0)	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)
Myelosuppression (thrombocytopenia)	1 (1.0)	0	0
Pancreatitis	1 (1.0)	0	0
Significant bleeding	0	0	1 (1.0)
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	0	0	1 (1.0)

^aAmong patients who remained in TFR for > 48 weeks (n = 100). ^bEach listed AE group includes a predefined set of individual AEs. Reported frequencies include all patients with ≥ 1 new or worsening AE in the group reported during the indicated study period.

Conclusions

- 48.9% of patients who had a sustained deep molecular response with frontline nilotinib therapy remained in remission at 96 weeks after stopping treatment
- Ninety-six-week results from ENESTfreedom were consistent with the previously reported results at the time of the primary analysis
 - Five patients discontinued from the TFR phase between 48 and 96 weeks; only 3 of these patients discontinued TFR due to loss of MMR
 - Of patients who reinitiated nilotinib due to loss of MMR, 98.9% regained MMR, and 92.0% regained MR^{4.5}
 - The frequency of AEs, including musculoskeletal-pain AEs, decreased during the second 48 weeks of TFR
- In this study, low Sokal risk at diagnosis and continuous MR^{4.5} in the consolidation phase appeared to be associated with higher TFR rates; however, these results must be interpreted with caution due to small patient numbers in some subgroups
- These results support use of TFR for patients in sustained deep molecular response with frontline nilotinib

References

- Hughes TP, Ross DM. *Blood*. 2016;128:17-23.
- Hochhaus A, et al. *Leukemia*. 2017; Mar 17. [Epub ahead of print].
- Etienne G, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35:298-305.
- Ross DM, et al. *Blood*. 2013;122:515-522.
- Richter J, et al. *Haematologica*. 2016;101 [abstract S145].
- Rousselot P, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32:424-430.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all study participants and their families, the study investigators, and staff at participating study sites. The MRDx BCR-ABL Test was developed, validated, and run by MolecularMD (Portland, OR). Financial support for medical editorial assistance was provided by Novartis Pharmaceuticals. The authors thank Karen Kaluza, PhD, of ArticulateScience LLC for medical editorial assistance with this poster presentation.

Presented at the EHA Annual Meeting; Madrid, Spain; June 22-25, 2017.

Text Q30144 to: 8NOVA (86682) USA only +18324604729 North, Central and South Americas; Caribbean; China +447860024038 UK, Europe & Russia +46737494608 Sweden, Europe
 Visit the web at: <http://novartis.medicalcongressposters.com/Default.aspx?doc=30144>

Scan this QR code



Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors.